10/538118

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



## **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicantle on a set Ci C	`					
Applicant's or agent's file reference 03R00750	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No.		date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/JP2003/015748	<u></u>	2003 (09.12.2003)	12 December 2002 (12.12.2002)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or no G02F 1/1333	ational classification	and IPC				
Applicant	SHARP KABU	JSHIKI KAISHA				
This report is the international prelim     Authority under Article 35 and transi	ninary examination re mitted to the applican	port, established by this t according to Article 36	International Preliminary Examining			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	3 sheet	s, including this cover sh	neet.			
3. This report is also accompanied by A		_				
a (sent to the applicant and t	to the International B	ureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:			
Administrative Inst	tructions).  sede earlier sheets. b	utnorized by this Author	en amended and are the basis of this report ity (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the considers contain an amendment that goes			
beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
	icated in the Suppler		e and number of electronic carrier(s)) and/or tables related thereto, in computer Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the			
4. This report contains indications relating	ng to the following ite	ems:				
Box No. I Basis of the report						
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Box No. VIII Certain observat	ions on the internation	nal application				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report				
14 June 2004 (14.06.200	04)	11 March 2005 (11.03.2005)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP		Authorized officer				
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Translation

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

ها الماسع

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/015748

Box No	o. I	Basis of the report	
1. With othe	n regard rwise in	to the language, this report is based on the international application in the landicated under this item.	guage in which it was filed, unless
	This whic	report is based on translations from the original language into the following this language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:	g language,
Į.		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))	
ľ		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)	
1		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
		,	
Juin	are not	I to the elements of the international application, this report is based on the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referre annexed to this report):  attenuational application as originally filed/furnished	(replacement sheets which have been d to in this report as "originally filed"
		scription:	
	pages	oorphon,	
	pages	received by this Authority on	, as originally filed/furnished
ŀ	pages		
	the cla		
	pages		
	pages	as amended (too	, as originally filed/furnished ether with any statement) under Article 19
	pages*	received by this Authority on	ther with any statement) under Article 19
	pages*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
F	the dra	awings:	
	pages	·····	
	pages*	received by this Authority on	, as originally filed/furnished
	pages*		
	a segui	ence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sec	
	w boqu	bitto instring and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sec	uence Listing.
, [	The e-	and and a second	
3		nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		he description, pages	
		he claims, Nos.	
		he drawings, sheets/figs	
	<b>⊢</b> '	he sequence listing (specify):	
		my table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
	made,	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this resince they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as if (0.2(c)).	port and listed below had not been ndicated in the Supplemental Box
	_	he description, pages	
		he claims, Nos.	
		he drawings, sheets/figs	
		ne sequence listing (specify):	
	L_J ª	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
* If item	4 appli	ies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	

International application No.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement				<u>`</u>	
Novelty (N)		Claims	4-6, 10	YE	
Inventive step (IS)		Claims	1-3, 7-9	NO	
	Claims				
Industrial applicability (IA)		Claims	1-10	YES NO	
	Claims	1-10	YES		
		Claims		NO NO	

Document 1: JP, 11-2812, A (Toshiba Corp.), 6 January, 1999 (06.01.99)

Document 2: JP, 2-242225, A (Seiko Epson Corp.), 26 September, 1990 (26.09.90)

Document 3: JP, 2002-156624, A (Fuji Photo Film Co., Ltd.), 31 May, 2002 (31.05.02)

Document 4: JP, 54-148548, A (K.K. Suwa Seikosha, presently named Seiko Epson Corp.), 20 November, 1979

The subject matters of claims 1-3 and 7-9 do not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step, since they are described in document 1 (paragraphs [0023]-[0055]) cited in the ISR.

The subject matters of claims 4 and 5 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of document 1 and document 2 (examples, "Effect of the invention") cited in the ISR. A person skilled in the art could have easily used a plastic plate with the properties described in document 2 as the plastic plate described in document

The subject matter of claim 6 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of document 1 and document 3 (the claims) cited in the ISR. A person skilled in the art could have easily used a plastic plate with the properties described in document 3 as the plastic plate described in document 1.

The subject matter of claim 10 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of document 1 and document 4 (the claims) cited in the ISR. A person skilled in the art could have easily used the polarizing plate disposed as described in document 4 in the liquid crystal display device described in document 1.